SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990 United States Attorney	
JOANN M. SWANSÓN, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney	
Chief, Civil Division MELANIE L. PROCTOR, CSBN 228971	
Assistant United States Attorney	
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055	
Telephone: (415) 436-6730 FAX: (415) 436-6927	
Attorneys for Defendants	
UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
0 SAN JOSE DIVISION	
YOUYI WU,	No. C 07-3469 JF
Plaintiff,	
v.)	
) ALBERTO GONZALES. United States	
Attorney General, United States Department) of Justice; MICHAEL CHERTOFF,	JOINT REQUEST TO BE EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR PROCESS
Secretary, Department of Homeland) Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ,	
Director, United States Citizenship and) Immigration Services; DAVID STILL, San)	
ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, Director,	
)	
2 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled	
3 "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" or the specified portions of	
	United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division MELANIE L. PROCTOR, CSBN 228971 Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6730 FAX: (415) 436-6927 Attorneys for Defendants UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST SAN JOS YOUYI WU, Plaintiff, v. ALBERTO GONZALES, United States Attorney General, United States Department of Justice; MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; DAVID STILL, San Francisco District Director, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigations, Defendants. Defendants.

"Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them.

Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to Plaintiff's request that this Court compel Defendants to

ADR CERTIFICATION No. C 07-3469 JF

24

25

26

27

Document 6

Filed 09/14/2007

Page 2 of 2

Case 5:07-cv-03469-HRL